and for the decay in intensity but not for absorption effects.

The direct method program MULTAN²⁰ served to locate the **sulfur,** oxygen, and the majority of carbon atoms. Several cycles of least-squares refinement followed by the calculation of difference Fourier maps revealed the remaining atoms. Block refinement of the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms followed by **the** positional and isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogen atoms produced convergence with $R_1 = \sum ||F_{o}|$ and $R_2 = [\sum w(|F_{o}| - |F_{o}|^{2})] \sum wF_{o}^{2}]^{1/2}$ both equal to **0.051.** Anomalous dispersion effects of all non-hydrogen atoms were included in the calculation of F_c using $\Delta F'$ and $\Delta F''$ calculated by Doyle and Turner.²¹ The atomic scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Liberman." A list of **all** interatomic distances and angles are available **as** supplementary material.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE 79-03953) and the National Institute of Health (AI-13073) for their generous support of this tigation were obtained on the departmental CFT-20 and Varian XL 200 instruments provided by NSF Grants 7842 and CHE 800-4246. We **also** thank the Purdue University work. The carbon-13 NMR data reported in this invesBiological Magnetic Laboratory (NIH RR01077) for access to the 470-MHz 'H NMR spectrometer and John Saddler and Phil Hamann for providing those spectra. We **also** thank Professor W. R. Robinson and A. T. McKenzie of the Purdue University Crystal Structure Facility for their invaluable assistance in interpretation of the X-ray data.

Registry No. 6, 4341-24-6; 7, 35023-83-7; 8, 7214-50-8; 9, 81939-74-4; loa, 81939-75-5; lob, 81939-76-6; 1 la, 81939-77-7; llb, 81939- 78-8; 12a, 81939-79-9; 12b, 81969-75-7; 13,81939-80-2; 14,81939-81-3; 16,81939-82-4; 19 (isomer **l), 81939-83-5; 19** (isomer **2), 81939-84-6; 81939-89-1; 27** (isomer **l), 81939-90-4; 27** (isomer **2), 81939-91-5; 28** (isomer **l), 81939-92-6; 28** (isomer **2), 81939-93-7; 30a** (8-sulfoxide isomer). 81940-00-3; 30b (α -sulfoxide isomer). 81940-01-4; 29, **20, 81939-85-7; 21, 81939-86-8; 23, 81939-87-9; 25, 81939-88-0; 26, 42858-97-9; 31, 81939-94-8; 32, 81939-95-9; 33, 81939-96-0; 34; 81939-97-1; 35, 81969-76-8; 36, 81956-23-2; 37, 81939-98-2; 38, 81939-99-3;** ethyl acetoacetate, **141-97-9;** ethyl crotonate, **10544-63-5;** thiophenol, **108-98-5;** trifluoroacetic anhydride, **407-25-0;** 1,3-cyclo-

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of interatomic distances and angles (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Photochemistry of Epoxyquinones. 6.' Norrish Type I1 Photoreaction of 2,3-Dihydro-2,3-epoxy- 1,I-napht hoquinone

Atsuhiro Osuka

Department *of* Chemistry, Faculty *of* Science, Ehime University, Matsuyama *790,* Japan

Received November *17,* 1981

Photochemical reactions of 2,3-dialkyl-substituted or 2-alkyl-substituted **2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-1,4** naphthoquinones in which the carbonyl group has intramolecularly abstractable γ -hydrogen atoms have been studied. On irradiation, epoxynaphthoquinones **1 la-h** initially afforded cyclobutanols **12a-h** and phthiocols **13a,b.** The former are Norrish type **I1** cyclization products, while the latter may be formed via an allene oxide intermediate which is a direct Norrish type **I1** elimination product. The cyclobutanola **12a-h** underwent secondary photorearrangement to @-diketones **14a-h** and @-alkoxy enones **15a-d,g,** but **14g** and **14h** were readily dehydrated on chromatographic separation over **silica** gel to give indenone derivatives **15g** and **15h.** Preference for the Norrish type **I1** photoreaction over the generation of the carbonyl ylide or 1,3-diradical is discussed in terms of the rate constants for each process. A tentative mechanism for the novel photochemical reactions of the cyclobutanols is proposed.

Considerable attention has been focused in recent years on the photochemistry of small-ring heterocyclic com-
pounds.² Among these, investigations of a number of Among these, investigations of a number of α , β -epoxy ketones 1 have demonstrated that these compounds are photochemically labile, and most can be classified into two groups according to their reaction modes: (a) C_a -O bond cleavage leading to the formation of a 1,3-diradical 2 which would give a β -diketone 3 by 1,2-alkyl migration of either the R^2 or R^3 group and (b) $C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$ bond fission leading to the formation of a carbonyl ylide 4a or l,&diradical4b which would give a tetrahydrofuran **5** by l,3-cycloaddition to olefin (Scheme I). Recently, photochemical generation of the carbonyl ylide 6 or 1,3-diradical **7 from** several epoxynaphthoquinones *(eq* 1) was reported.3

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
 & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ\n\end{array} \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
11i, R^{1} = R^{2} = Me
$$
\n
$$
j, R^{1} = Me; R^{2} = CH_{2}C(Me),
$$

These reactive intermediates were successfully trapped by olefins,^{3a} ketones,^{3b} and aldehydes.^{3b} However, irradiation of dimethylacrylophenone oxide **@a) and** *trans-dypnone* oxide **(8b)** were reported to give the unsaturated keto alcohols, 9a and 9b, respectively, as the major products4. (Scheme II). The absence of detectable amounts of β diketo products in those cases is noteworthy. The authors

⁽¹⁾ Part 5: Osuka, A., *J. Org. Chem.*, in press.

⁽²⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Padwa, A. Org. Photochem. 1967, 1, 91. (b)
Bertoniere, N. R.; Griffin, G. W. *Ibid*. 1973, 3, 138. (c) Griffin, G. W.;
Padwa, A. In "Photochemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds"; Buchardt, Padwa, A. In "Photochemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds"; Buchardt, O., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1976; p 41. (d) Nastasi, M.; Streith, J. In 'Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States"; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, **1980;** Vol. **111,** p **381.**

^{(3) (}a) Arakawa, S. *J. Org.* Chem. **1977,42, 3800. (b)** Maruyama, **K.;** Osuka, A. Chem. Lett. **1979,77.**

⁽⁴⁾ Zimmerman, **H.** E.; Cowley, B. R.; Tseng, **C.-Y.;** Wilson, J. W. *J.* Am. Chem. *SOC.* **1964,86,947.**

postulated that the reaction proceeds by initial γ -hydrogen abstraction followed by homolytic scission of the oxirane ring. These results suggest the preference of γ -hydrogen abstraction over opening of the oxirane ring (path a or path b in Scheme I) in the reaction of triplet aryl ketones. In this paper, the photochemical reaction of 2,3-dialkyl- or 2-alkyl-substituted **2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-l,4-naphtho**quinones in which the carbonyl group has intramolecularly abstractable γ -hydrogen atoms will be described. This paper will reveal that the Norrish type I1 photoreaction is preferred to the generation of the carbonyl ylide 6 or l,&diradical **7.5**

Results and Discussion

Syntheses of Starting Epoxynaphthoquinones. The synthesis of 2,3-dialkyl-substituted epoxynaphthoquinones **1 la-f'** was achieved by NaOCl/pyridine epoxidation of 2,3-dialkyl-substituted l,4-naphthoquinones **1 Oa-f,** which, in turn, were prepared by the method of Jacobsen. 6 2-Alkyl-substituted epoxynaphthoquinones **1 lg** and **1 lh** were prepared by epoxidation of 2-alkyl-1,4-naphthoquinones **1Og** and **10h,** respectively, with alkaline hydrogen peroxide.7

Photoproduct Analysis. Irradiation of a benzene solution of **2-methyl-3-(2-methylpropyl)-2,3-dihydro-2,3-ep**oxy-1,4-naphthoquinone **(1 la,** 0.02 M) with Pyrex-filtered light with up to 65% conversion of reactant followed by separation over silica gel afforded (eq 2) the cyclobutanol

a, $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = Me$; **b**, $R^1 = Me$, R^2 , $R^3 = (CH_2)_s$; c -ex, $R^1 = R^2 = Me$, $R^2 = H$; c -en, $R^1 = R^3 = Me$, $R^3 = H$; **d**, R^1 = Me, R^2 = R^3 = **H**; **e**, R^1 = Me, R^2 = R^3 = Ph; $f-ex, R^1 = R^3 = Me, R^2 = Ph; f-en, R^1 = R^2 = Me, R^3 =$ **Ph;** $g, R^1 = H, R^2 = R^3 = M$ **e;** $h, R^1 = H, R^2 = R^3 = P$ **h**. 13a, $R = Me$; 13b, $R = H$.

12a (25%) , the phthiocol **13a** (17%) , the β -diketone **14a** (40%), and the β -alkoxy enone 15a (15%) (Table I). Structure assignments for these photoproducts were made on the basis of characteristic spectral data and elemental

Table I. Type I1 Photoreaction of Epoxy naphthoquinones

	reac-		%	product distribution, ^{a} %			
run	tant	solvent	conv	12	13	14	15
1	11a	$C_{s}H_{s}$	20	73	8 ^b	12	5
2	11a	C_{ϵ} H _{ϵ}	65	25	17 ^b	40	15
3	11a	MeOH	78	63	6 ^b	15	7
$\frac{4}{5}$	11 _b	C_6H_6	42	16	12^b	40	10
	11c	$C_{\epsilon}H$	63	44c	10 ^b	25 ^d	10^e
6	11d	C_6H_6-t -BuOH \prime	87	43	76	g	g
7	11e	$C_{\epsilon}H$	67	51	15^b	22	h
8	11f	$C_{\epsilon}H_{\epsilon}$ - <i>t</i> -BuOH	59	59.5^{i}	15^b	23^j	h
9	11f'	C_6H_6-t -BuOH	61	69 ^k	14 ^b	18^l	h
10	11g	$\rm{C_{s}H_{s}}$	48	12	12 ^m	24 ⁿ	14
11	11g	MeOH	63	52	$_{2}$ m	26 ⁿ	10
12	11h	$\rm C_{_{6}}H_{_{6}}$	75	65	5^m	28^n	h

13a. 12c-ex/l2c-en ratio of **1.93. 14c-ex/l4c-en ratio of 1.56.** e^{i} **15c-ex/15c-en ratio of 2.33.** $\int_{c}^{c} C_{s}H_{s}/Bu \cdot t$ -OH ratio of 1. e^{i} Not determined. h Not detected. Bu-t-OH **ratio** of 1. *g* **Not determined. Not detected. I 12f-ex/l2f-en ratio** of **0.17.** *J* **14f-ex 14f en ratio** of **0.44. 12f-ex/l2f-en ratio** of **0.13. /14f-ex/l4f-en** ratio of 0.5 . $\frac{m}{2}$ 13b. $\frac{n}{2}$ **Isolated yields as of the indenone derivatives 18. Isolated yields based on the amounts** of **consumed 11.**

Figure 1. ¹³C **NMR** (CDCl₃) data (δ) of selected photoproducts.

analyses. The IR spectrum of **12a** showed characteristic bands at 3400 (hydroxy) and 1680 (a conjugated ketone) cm-'; the **'H** NMR (CDC13) spectrum indicated the presence of three methyl groups at 6 **0.84,** 1.42, and **1.50** and methylene hydrogens at δ 2.06 and 2.84 (AB q, $J = 10$ Hz). The 13C NMR (CDC13) of **12a** revealed one ketone carbon at δ 191.9, four quaternary carbons at δ 78.1, 66.1, 59.4, and 40.4, and one methylene carbon at δ 39.0, besides three methyl carbons and six aromatic carbons (Figure 1). **2-Hydroxy-3-methyl-l,4-naphthoquinone (13a)** was identical with an authentic sample prepared by the treatment of **2-methyl-2,3-dihydrc+2,3-epoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (22)** with concentrated sulfuric acid? The IR spectrum of **14a** showed characteristic bands at 3450 (hydroxy), 1750 (a five-membered ketone), and 1715 (a conjugated five-

⁽⁶⁾ For preliminary reports on some aspects on the present study, *see:* **(a) Maruyama, K.; Oeuka, A.;** *Suzuki,* **H.** *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1980, 323. (b) Jimentz, M.; Rodrriguez-Hahn, L.; Romo, J.** *Latinoam. Quim.* **1974,5,** *184.*

⁽⁶⁾ Jacobsen, N.; Tod, **K.** *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1972,763,135. (7) Marmor, S.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1963,** *28,* **250.**

⁽⁸⁾ Fieser, L. F. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1940, 133, 391.**

Figure 2. ¹H NMR assignments for 14 with $Eu(dpm)_{3}$ induced shifta given in parentheses. The induced shifta were determined from the plots of shifts (in ppm) vs. the molar ratio of Eu- $(dpm)_3/14$ by the least-squares method; concentrations of 14 were ca. **0.125** M in CDC13.

membered ketone) cm⁻¹; the ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum indicated the presence of three methyl groups at δ 1.06, **1.20,** and **1.34** and methylene hydrogens at 6 **2.04** and **2.28** $(AB \ q, J = 15 \ Hz)$. The ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of **14a** revealed the presence of two ketone carbons at 6 **208.3** and **198.8,** three quaternary carbons at 6 **87.0, 69.7,** and 52.4, and one methylene carbon at δ 42.2, besides three methyl carbons and six aromatic carbons. The stereochemistry of the ring junction of the β -diketone 14a was assigned to be cis-fused on the basis of changes in the chemical shifts of its protons on addition of the shift reagent, **tris(dipivalomethanato)europium(III)** [Eu(dpm),, Figure 2].⁹ This assignment was confirmed by the fact that **14a** did not isomerize at all on treatment with hydrochloric acid in chloroform even at elevated temperature, since the trans isomer would readily isomerize on acid treatment due to its highly strained structure. The IR spectrum of **15a** showed characteristic bands at **3320** (hydroxy) and at **1650** and **1635** (a conjugated ketone) cm-l; the ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum indicated the presence of three methyl groups at 6 **0.82,1.54,** and **1.66** and methylene hydrogens adjacent to the oxygen at 6 **3.96** and **4.56** (AB q, $J = 7$ Hz). The data from the ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of **15a** were consistent with the assigned structure: δ 186.4 (s, a conjugated ketone), 176.6 (s, β -carbon of β -alkoxy enone), 109.1 (s, α -carbon of β -alkoxy enone), **83.2** (t), **76.4** (s), **45.2** (s), besides three methyl carbons and *six* aromatic carbons. The UV (EtOH) spectral properties of **15a** also indicated α , β -unsaturated aryl ketone: λ_{max} 315 nm **(e** *5000),* **292 (6150).** Further, reductive acetylation (Zn/Ac20) of **15a** yielded naphthalene derivative **16a** in a yield of **75%** (eq **3).**

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n15 & & \xrightarrow{2n} & & \xrightarrow{2n} & & \xrightarrow{3n} \\
a, R=CH_3 & & \xrightarrow{AC_2O} & & \xrightarrow{16} & & (3)\n\end{array}
$$

 \sim

Figure 3. Photochemical reaction of the cyclobutanol **12a** in benzene. The solid circles show the amount of **12a,** while open symbols $(O, \Box, \text{ and } \Delta)$ represent the amount of 14a, 15a, and 13a, respectively.

Figure 4. Comparison of the chemical shifts of methyl groups of 14 ($R = H$) with those of 35 ($R = Ac$), given in parentheses.

Controlled experiments revealed that the cyclobutanol **12a** and the phthiocol **13a** were the primary photoproducts **(12a/ 13a** ratio of **10)** arising from a type **I1** reaction, and upon continued irradiation **12a** was transformed into **13a, 14a,** and **15a.** High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of the irradiation of **12a (0.015** M) in benzene revealed that the ratio of **13a/ 14a/ 15a** was constant **(1:6.5:2.5)** during the irradiation, indicating that no interconversion of either **13a, 14a,** or **15a** was operative under the reaction conditions (Figure **3).** The cyclobutanol **12a** was obtained in a higher yield **(63%)** by irradiating **Ila** for a suitable time in methanol, where the efficiency of the type **I1** reaction was greatly enhanced by biradical solvation but where that of the secondary photoreaction of **12a** was not so influenced.

⁽⁹⁾ Although in the preliminary paper⁵⁴ we erroneously assigned a trans-fused structure for the β -diketone, it should be corrected to the cis-fused. In ref 5a, the assignment was only based on the comparison of the chemical shift of methyl protons of $14e$ (at δ 1.36) with that of its **acetate (at 6 1.36). However, acetylation of 14e was carried out under acidic conditions (HC10,/Ac20), where** *trum-14e* **should isomerize to thermodynamically stable cis-14e. Further, the comparison of the chemical** shifta **of methyl protons of 14 with those of their acetates** in **the seriee appeared to provide support for the cis-fused structure (Figure 4).**

Further examples which would support the generality of the preference of the intramolecular γ -hydrogen abstraction over the generation of the carbonyl ylide or 1,3-diradical and succeeding photochemical rearrangement of the cyclobutanol 12 were sought. With this in mind, the photochemical behavior of a number of epoxynaphthoquinones which contain γ -hydrogen atoms was investigated. In each case, cyclobutanol 12 and phthiocol 13, arising from intramolecular γ -hydrogen atom abstraction, and rearranged products, arising from cyclobutanol 12, were observed. Irradiation of 2-methyl-3- **(cyclohexylmethyl)-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-** 1,4-naphthoquinone (11b) in benzene gave the cyclobutanol $12b(16\%)$, 13a (12%), the β -diketone 14b (40%), and the β -alkoxy enone 15b (10%). The latter two products were secondary photoproducts derived from 12b. Similar irradiation of **2-methyl-3-propyl-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-1,4-naphtho**quinone (11c) in benzene gave the epimeric cyclobutanols 12c-ex (29%) and 12c-en (15%) , 13a (10%) , the epimeric β -diketones 14c-ex (14%) and 14c-en (9%), and the epimeric β -alkoxy enones 15c-ex (7%) and 15c-en (3%). The stereochemistry at C-10 position of 12c was assigned on the basis of the chemical shifts of methyl protons at C-10. The methyl protons of 12c-en appeared at higher field (δ) 0.80) due to the shielding effects of benzene ring, compared with those of 12c-ex appearing at δ 1.42. A separate irradiation of the cyclobutanol l2c-ex in benzene gave only 14c-ex, 15c-ex, and 13a, and, similarly, 12c-en gave only 14c-en, 15c-en, and 13a, indicating that the photorearrangement of 12c to 14c and 15c proceeds without epimerization of methyl group at C-10.

Irradiation of **2-ethyl-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-**1,4-naphthoquinone (1 ld) in benzene led only to poorer yields of type I1 photoproducts, accompanied by the formation of many unidentified products. On the other hand, the cyclobutanol 12d and the phthiocol 13a were obtained in 43% and 7% yields, respectively, by irradiating lld in benzene-t-BuOH (1:1). Again, the cyclobutanol $12d$ was found to undergo photochemical rearrangement to the β -diketone 14d (52%) and the β -alkoxy enone 15d (22%) and photoelimination to 13a (9%). In the photochemistry of lld, competitive generation of the carbonyl ylide or 1,3-diradical appeared to be responsible for the lower yields of type I1 photoproducts. In fact, irradiation of a benzene-t-BuOH $(1:1)$ solution of 11d $(0.05 M)$ and dimethyl fumarate (0.07 M) resulted in the formation of a 1:l mixture of isomeric adducts 17a and 17b (31%), arising

from 1,3-cycloaddition of a carbonyl ylide or a 1,3-diradical to dimethyl fumarate, along with type I1 photoproducts 12d (24%) and 13a (4%).

When irradiation of **2-methyl-3-(2,2-diphenylethyl)- 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone** (1 le) was carried out in benzene up to 67 % conversion, the cyclobutanol 12e (51%), 13a (15%), 1,1-diphenylethylene (8%), and the β -diketone 14e (22%) were obtained. The photochemistry of 12e stands in contrast to those of 12a-d in view of the facts that 12e gave a significant amount of lle along with 13a and 14e and that no sign of the formation of 15e could be detected. This can be interpreted as direct evidence for competitive C_1-C_{10} bond rupture leading to the formation of a type 11 biradical, which will produce lle by reverse hydrogen transfer (disproportionation).

Epoxidation of 2-methyl-3-(2-phenylpropyl)-1,4naphthoquinone $(10f)$ gave a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomeric epoxynaphthoquinones which could be separated by repeated column chromatography over silica gel, giving llf (mp 76-78 **C)** and llf' (mp 83.5-84.5 "C). Irradiation of either llf or 11f' resulted in the almost same product distribution: 11f gave the epimeric cyclobutanols 12f-ex (51%) and l2f-en **(8.5%),** 13a (15%), and the epimeric β -diketones 14f-ex (16%) and 14f-en (7%), while 11f' gave 12f-ex (61%), l2f-en (8%), 13a (14%), 14f-ex (12%), and 14f-en (6%). In each case, the corresponding β -alkoxy enone 15f was not detected in the crude photolysate, but 2-phenylpropene was detected by GC analysis in about 10%. The stereochemistry of 12f-ex and l2f-en was assigned on the **basis** of the chemical shifts of methyl protons at C-10. The methyl protons of 12f-ex appeared at δ 1.23, while those of 12f-en appeared at δ 1.56. In contrast to the photochemical behavior of l2c-ex and 12c-en, a separate irradiation of 12f-ex in benzene gave rise to a 2:l epimeric mixture of l4f-ex and 14f-en, along with small amount of 11f, 11f', and 13a, suggesting competitive C_1-C_{10} bond rupture in 12f.

The photochemical reactions of 2-alkyl-substituted epoxynaphthoquinones llg and llh have also been studied. Irradiation of **2-(2-methylpropyl)-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-**1,4-naphthoquinone (11g) in methanol with up to 63% conversion followed by the separation over silica gel afforded the cyclobutanol 12g (52%), 2-hydroxy-1,4 naphthoquinone 13b (2%) , the β -alkoxy enone 15g (10%) , and the indenone derivative 18g (26%). The last product seemed to arise from the dehydration of the expected β -diketone 14g. In fact, ¹H NMR examination of the crude photolysate of 12g in benzene showed no peaks due to 18g but instead a set of two methyl singlets at δ 0.76 and 1.33, an AB quartet at δ 2.15 and 2.68 ($J = 15$ Hz), and a singlet at δ 3.44, presumably due to 14g. On treatment of this crude photolysate with silica gel in benzene at room temperature, one observed rapid appearance of 'H NMR signals due to 18g **as** well **as** rapid disappearance of the signals mentioned above. Consequently, the indenone derivative 18g is not a direct photolysis product from 12g but is formed from a precursor, maybe 14g, which is readily dehydrated on treatment with silica gel. Similar irradiation of **2-(2,2-diphenylethyl)-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-l,4** naphthoquinone (11h) in benzene gave the cyclobutanol 12h (65%), 13b **(5%),** 1,l-diphenylethylene (4%), and the β -alkoxy enone 14h (30%, determined by ¹H NMR). The last product, which was only detected by 'H NMR spectroscopy [at δ 2.68 and 3.00 (AB q, $J = 15$ Hz) and 3.40 **(8,** 1 H)], was isolated **as** the indenone derivative 18h (28%) after chromatographic separation over silica gel. No sign of the formation of 15h could be detected in this case as well as lle, llf, and 11f'.

Reaction Mechanism. The formation of the cyclobutanols 12 and **2-hydroxy-l,4-naphthoquinones** 13 can be readily accounted for in terms of a type I1 process. A biradical 19 is initially formed by γ -hydrogen atom abstraction. Cyclization of the biradical yields the cyclobutanol 12, while direct elimination probably results in the formation of the phthiocol 13. The preferential cyclization of 19 is consistent with previous reports for rigid systems, where elimination would produce highly strained bicyclic compounds with bridgehead double bonds, e.g., α -adamantylacetone^{10a} and α -adamantylacetophenone.^{10b} Mechanistic details of the photoelimination to give 13 are not

^{(10) (}a) Gagoaian, R. B.; Dalton, J. **C.;** Tuno, **N. J.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1970,92,4752. (b) Lewis, F. D.; Johnson, R. W.; Kory, D. R.** *Zbid.* **1974, 96,6100.**

yet understood, but the formation of 13 should be correlated with intramolecular γ -hydrogen abstraction because none of 13a was detected in the photolysis of 2,3-di**methyl-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone** (1 li) or 2-methyl-3-(**2,2-dimethylpropyl)-2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-**1,4-naphthoquinone (llj). Further, it may be said that elimination occurs prior to a possible epoxy carbinyl rearrangement, judged by the absence of products derived from a radical 20. It is proposed that direct elimination of 19 yields an allene oxide 21 as a transient intermediate (Scheme 111), which undergoes isomerization to 13. The absence of 22 in the reaction mixture appears to imply that the keto-enol tautomerization is of minor importance in 21. This observation is consistent with the suggestion that allene oxide undergoes facile isomerization to cyclopropanone *via* an oxyallyl intermediate.¹¹ In the expected oxyallyl zwitterion 23 arising from isomerization of 21, intramolecular proton transfer could produce 13. There have been earlier reports in which type 11 photoelimination results in the formation of highly strained species involving an oxygen-containing three-membered ring. Phenyloxirene

toelimination of β , γ -epoxy ketone 28,¹² although the intervention of oxirene in this process seems to be rather $controversial.¹³$

Quantum yields for the disappearance of epoxynaphthoquinones $11a-h$ in benzene and benzene-t-BuOH (1:l) were determined by using valerophenone **as** the chemical actinometer.¹⁴ The results are shown in Table 11. The increase in quantum yield with added alcohol can be attributed to biradical solvation, which suppresses reversion of the biradical to ground-state epoxynaphthoquinone.¹⁶ Rate constants for γ -hydrogen abstraction were determined by standard Stern-Volmer quenching experiments in degassed benzene solution with naphthalene as the triplet quencher. From the slopes of the linear Stern-Volmer plots $(k_{q}\tau)$ and the assumption that k_{q} = 5×10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in benzene solution,¹⁵ values of the triplet lifetime *(7)* are obtained. In view of the short triplet lifetime $(\tau < 10^{-8}$ s), it is unlikely that radiationless decay competes with γ -hydrogen abstraction. Thus, the triplet lifetime is determined by the rate of γ -hydrogen abstrac-

Table 11. Quantim Yields and Kinetic Data for the Type I1 Photoreaction of Epoxynaphthoquinones

epoxynaphtho- quinone ^a	$C_{s}H_{s}$	C_6H_6 \mathbf{B} u t \cdot OH	${}_{\rm M}^{k}$ ₂ ^{σ} ₅ ^{σ} ₁	$10^{-8}1/\tau$, $\rm{s^{-1}}$
11a	0.082	0.49	13	3.7
11 _b	0.085		5.4	9.1
11c	0.11		23	$2.2\,$
11d	0.27		58	0.86
11e	0.18	0.32	3.8	13
11f	0.23	0.60	7.5	6.7
11f'	0.25		7.2	7.1
11g	0.067		10	5.0
11h	0.36	0.54	2.8	18
30 ^d	0.12	0.39 ^e	8.5 ^f	5.9

Concentration of epoxynaphthoquinone of ca. 0.015 M. ^b Quantum yields for the disappearance of epoxy**naphthoquinones *lo%. Least-squares slope of linear Stern-Volmer plots in benzene solution with naphthalene quencher (±10%).** ^d From ref 10b. ^e Quantum yield in
i-PrOH. *f* Using *trans*-1,3-pentadiene as the triplet **quencher.**

tion $(1/\tau = \kappa_{\gamma})$. Lewis' results^{10b} for 2-propyl-1-tetralone (30) in benzene and i-PrOH are included in Table I1 for

purposes of comparison. The reactivity of the $n\pi^*$ triplet of 11 toward γ -hydrogen abstraction is determined primarily by the γ C-H bond strength. Inductive effects¹⁶ by electron-withdrawing substituents such as the o-acyl group and the α -oxyranyl group and less conformational mobilitylob imposed by the oxirane ring might enhance the triplet reactivity of 11, but the value of k_{γ} for 11c was only 37% **as** much **as** that of 30. These results might be simply accounted for in view of lower triplet energy of 11c, compared with that of 30. Type I1 photoreaction of 11 was quenched by naphthalene $(E_T = 61 \text{ kcal/mol})$ but only inefficiently by biphenyl $(E_T = 66 \text{ kcal/mol})$, indicating the triplet energies of 11 to be significantly lower than those of alkyl phenyl ketones $(E_T = 72-73 \text{ kcal/mol})$.

In contrast to type I1 photoreaction of lla-h, excitation of lli or llj gives rise exclusively to the generation of the carbonyl ylide or 1,3-diradical, which can be trapped by suitable dipolarophiles.³ It is of mechanistic interest to compare the rate of the opening of the oxirane ring *(k,)* with that of the γ -hydrogen abstraction (k_{γ}) . In the case of 1 Id, the opening of the oxirane ring occurs competitively with type II process. Both processes were quenched by naphthalene with equal efficiency, indicating that they

⁽¹¹⁾ Chan, J. H.; Ong, B. *S. J. Org. Chem.* **1978,** *43,* **2994.**

⁽¹²⁾ Padwa, **A.; Cnunrine,** D.; **Hartman, R.;** Layton, R. *J. Am. Chem.*

⁽¹³⁾ Cormier, R. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980, 2021.** *SOC.* **1967,89, 4435.**

⁽¹⁴⁾ Wagner, P. J.; Kochevar, I. **E.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1972,94,7489.**

⁽¹⁵⁾ Wagner, P. **J.; Kochevar,** I. **E. J.** *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1968,90,2232. (16) Wagner,** P. **J.** *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1971,** *4,* **168.**

occurred from the same excited state, presumably the $n\pi^*$ triplet state. Since both the cyclobutanol 12d and the 1.3-dipolar cycloadducts 17a and 17b are photolabile under the reaction conditions, the chemical yields of 12d and 17a,b do not accurately reflect the relative propensities for the type I1 process and the opening of the oxirane ring. However, the relative product yields suggest the nearly same rate constants of two processes in triplet 11d. Degassed benzene solutions, 0.015 M in lli or llj and 0.02 M in cyclohexene containing various concentrations of naphthalene, were irradiated in parallel with valerophenone actinometer." Quantum yields for the formation of the cycloadducts 31i and 31j were 0.35 for lli and 0.70 for llj, respectively. Stern-Volmer plots were linear to $\Phi^0/\Phi = 6$ for both epoxynaphthoquinones, with slopes $(k_q \tau)$ of 99 M⁻¹ for 11i and 20 M⁻¹ for 11j. With $k_q = 5 \times 10^9$ $M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $1/\tau = 5.1 \times 10^7 s^{-1}$ for triplet 11i and $2.5 \times 10^8 s^{-1}$ for triplet llj. If it is assumed that the triplet lifetimes **of** lli and llj are largely determined by the rate of the opening of the oxirane ring (k_0) , the values of $1/\tau$ can be regarded as k_o . The k_o for 11j is a factor of 5 higher than that for lli. It thus appears that there are steric acceleration effects in the opening of the inner C-C bond of the oxirane ring in epoxynaphthoquinones. Although how these effects might enhance *k,* in lla-h is not known, it can be said that values of k_0 are much smaller than those of k_{γ} on the basis of the amounts of type II photoproducts which account for nearly all of the products.

The photorearrangement of the cyclobutanol 12 to the β -diketone 14 and the β -alkoxy enone 15 can be best explained in terms of a initial C_{α} -O bond cleavage to give a diradical24, followed by migration of the benzyl group to the α -carbon *(migration to C)* or migration of methylene group to the oxygen *(migration to* 0). To the best of our knowledge, 1,2-alkyl migration to the oxygen atom is unprecedented in spite of its formal possibility, although the photochemistry of α , β -epoxy ketones has been studied extensively.2 A possible explanation for the unprecedented migration to 0 may be that the reaction proceeds in such a concerted manner that the methylene group has to be attached to the backside lobe of the bond to be cleaved. Thus, migration to C of the methylene group would be greatly disfavored by reason of steric factors. If such a concerted migration occurs, migration to C of the benzyl group would lead to the *trans* isomer of 14. Unfortunately, because the *cis* stereochemistry of 14 may be the result of control by thermodynamic factors (the trans isomer of 14 would be highly strained), no definitive conclusions relating to the timing **of** the 12 to 14 and 15 rearrangement can be drawn at this time.

As for the mechanism **of** photoelimination to 13 from 12, one may envision a route involving C_1-C_{10} bond rupture, resulting in the formation of type I1 biradical 19, which could produce 13 by elimination. This is the case for the cyclobutanols 12e, 12f-ex and l2f-en, which, on excitation, give epoxynaphthoquinones by disproportionation of 19 as well **as** produce 13a. However, none of the epoxynaphthoquinones 11a-d could be detected in the irradiation of 12a-d in benzene even at the early stages, of the reaction. Further, in the case of 12c-ex or l2c-en once C_1-C_{10} bond fission occurred, there should be brought

about concomitant epimerization of the methyl group in 12c, 14c, and 15c. Therefore, there seems to exist, besides C_1-C_{10} bond fission, an alternative route to 13a from 12a-d. Scheme IV shows a possible route to 13a from 12a-d, which begins with heterocyclic C₃-O bond cleavage followed by proton transfer to give an intermediate, 33, which finally gives 13a. It is not unreasonable that the hydroxy group at the C-1 position of 12 will interact through hydrogen bonding with the oxirane oxygen, which will become slightly electron rich on excitation. Thus, the cyclobutanol 12a was esterified $(HClO₄/Ac₂O, -20 °C)$, and the photochemistry of the acetate 34a was examined. Irradiation of 34a in benzene afforded only β -diketone acetate 35a (eq *5)* in 52% but neither migration to 0

product nor elimination product was not observed. This result seems to suggest an unique role of the hydroxy group at C-1 in the photochemistry of cyclobutanol. The strain *arising* from spirefused structure of 12 **also** appears to play an important role in its photochemistry; however, speculation regarding the detailed reaction mechanism is unwarranted at present.

In summary, the type I1 photoprocess is prefered to generation of the carbonyl ylide or 1,3-diradical in the photochemistry of epoxynaphthoquinones which contain γ -hydrogen atoms. Type II cyclization gives highly strained cyclobutanol in a good yield, which is transformed into a novel class of polycondensed ring compounds, while type I1 elimination presumably gives allene oxide which undergoes facile isomerization to phthiocol.

Experimental Section

Melting points were measured on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Hitachi Model 260-10. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL PS-100, and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million on the δ scale from internal Me₄Si. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL FX-100. Elemental analyses were performed at the Microanalytical Laboratory of Kyoto University. High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses were **performed** on a JACSO Model FLC A-700 equipped with a *UV* detector (Model UVIDEC-100). The separations were made on a *500* **X** 2 mm stainless-steel column packed with JASCO-Pack *SS-05* with a water-saturated mixture of hexane and ether as the eluent. GC analyses were performed on a Hitachi Model 163, using a 3mm i.d. **X** 1 stainless-steel column packed with 10% SE-30 on Celite **545** AW. Preparative separations were performed by column chromatography over silica gel (Wakogel (3-200). UV irradiations were carried out in a Pyrex vessel **(Ei-**

⁽¹⁷⁾ The **total amounts** of 1,3-dipolar cycloadducta **34i** and **34j** and their secondary photoproducts accounted for nearly all of the products produced in the reaction **of lli** and **llj** with cyclohexene. Triplet states **of lli and llj** were slightly quenched by electron-rich olefins but 0.02 M cyclohexene affected the triplet lifetimes of **lli** and **llj** at most less than **2%. Osuka, A,; Suzuki,** H.; Maruyama, K., J. *Chem. SOC., Perkin* **Trans.** *1,* in press.

a Satisfactory C and H elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

Table IV. Properties of Epoxynaphthoquinones 11

	yield,			
compd ^a	%	mp, °C	IR (KBr) , cm ⁻¹	¹ H NMR (CDCl _a), δ
11a	87	$56 - 57$	2960, 1695, 1600 1310, 710	0.98 (d, $J = 4$ Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, $J = 4$ Hz, 3 H), 1.72 (s, 3 H), 1.9-2.2 (m, 3 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 2 H), 7.9-8.0 (m, 2 H)
11 _b	92	$78 - 79$	2940, 1700, 1600, 1330, 1310, 710	0.9-2.2 (m, 13 H), 1.7 (s, 3 H), 7.6-8.1 (m, 4 H)
11c	84	oil	2950, 1700, 1590 1310, 710	0.98 (t, $J = 6$ Hz, 3 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 1.3-1.9 (m, 2 H), 2.0-2.3 (m, 2 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 2 H), 7.9-8.0 (m, 2 H)
11d	87	$37 - 37.5$	3020, 2960, 1700, 1600, 1300, 710	1.10 (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.7 (s, 3 H), 1.6-2.5 (m, 2 H), $7.6 - 7.8$ (m, 2 H), $7.9 - 8.0$ (m, 2 H)
11e	92	128-128.5	1695, 1600, 1310, 710	1.18 (s, 3 H), 2.62 (dd, $J = 10$, 14 Hz, 1 H), 3.05 (dd, $J = 14$ Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (dd, $J = 5$, 10 Hz, 1 H), 7.0-7.3 (m, 10 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 2 H), 7.8-8.0 (m, 2 H)
11f	41	$76 - 78$	2950, 1700, 1600 1300, 720	1.08 (s, 3 H), 1.40 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.98 (dd, $J = 10$, 14 Hz, 1 H), 2.66 (dd, $J = 6$, 14 Hz, 1 H), 3.32 (dd, $J = 6$, 10 Hz, 1 H), 7.1-7.3 (m, 5 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 2 H), 7.9-8.0 (m, 2 H)
11f'	39	$83.5 - 84.5$	2950, 1695, 1600, 1300, 710	1.31 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H), 2.4-2.5 (m, 2 H), 3.16 (m, 1 H), 7.1-7.3 (m, 5 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 2 H), 7.9-8.0 (m, 2H)
11g	62	oil	2950, 1700, 1590, 1300, 720	0.94 (d, $J = 6$ Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, $J = 6$ Hz, 3 H), 1.53 (dd, $J =$ 6, 15 Hz, 1 H), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 2.33 (dd, $J = 5$, 15 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (s, 1 H), 7.5-8.0 (m, 4 H)
11h	73	116-117	1700, 1600, 1500, 1300, 710	2.12 (dd, $J = 10$, 12 Hz, 1 H), 3.14 (s, 1 H), 3.37 (dd, $J = 6$, 12 Hz, 1 H), 4.35 (dd, $J = 6$, 10 Hz, 1 H), 7.0-7.3 (m, 10 H), 7.6-8.0 (m, 4 H)

^a Satisfactory C and H elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

kosha EHB-WF) under **an** argon atmosphere with an Eikosha 300-W high-pressure Hg lamp.

Preparation of Epoxynaphthoquinones. The epoxynaphthoquinones used were prepared by epoxidation of the corresponding naphthoquinone with NaOCl/pyridine or alkaline H_2O_2 .^{1,3a} The naphthoquinones were synthesized by the method of Jacobsen.6 Isolated yields and physical properties are summarized in Tables I11 and IV.

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reactions of 11. A solution of 11 (1 g) in ⁴⁰⁰mL of benzene in a Pyrex vessel was bubbled with argon for 10 min and then irradiated under cooling with water for a suitable time. The progress of the reaction was followed by HPLC. After removal of the solvent, **the** residual oil was separated by chromatography on **a** silica gel column with ether/hexane as the eluant. Final purification was usually ac- complished by preparative TLC and recrystallization. Physical properties of photoproducts are summarized in Tables V-VII.

Reductive Acetylation of the β -Alkoxy Enone 15a. A solution of 16a (70 mg), anhydrous NaOAc (130 mg), and Zn dust (700 mg) in 5mL of acetic anhydride waa refluxed for 30 min. The

reaction mixture was poured into 50 mL of saturated $NAHCO₃$ solution and extracted with two portions of CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), and the organic extracts were washed with water before being dried $(Na₂SO₄)$. Removal of the solvent afforded crude product, which was purified on a short column of silica gel, giving 16a (58 mg, 75%).

8-Acetoxy-3,3,9-trimethyl-2,3-dihydronaphthaleno[1,2-b]furan

(16a): mp 96-98 °C; IR (KBr) 2940, 1750 (ester C=O), 1360, 1200,
 EXEC COL TO THE MERICAL TRACE ON THE COLUMN 1190, 1075, 760 cm-'; 'H NMR (CDC13) 6 1.58 **(s,** 6 H), 2.18 **(e,** 3 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 4.34 (s, 3 H), 7.2-8.0 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) ⁶10.0 **(q),** 20.5 (q), 27.2 (q), 43.8 **(s),** 85.5 (t), 114.8 (a), 121.9 (d), 122.2 (d), 122.9 (d), 123.3 (s), 125.3 **(s),** 125.9 (d), 128.7 **(s),** 144.8 (s), 156.2 (s), 168.4 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₈O₃: C, 75.53; H, 6.71. Found: C, 75.50; H, 6.68.

Irradiation of the Cyclobutanols l2c-ex and 12c-en in Benzene. A solution of 12c-ex (100 mg) in 25 mL of benzene was irradiated for 1 h. Separation of the products by column chromatography gave 13a $(8 \text{ mg}, 10\%)$, 14c-ex $(35 \text{ mg}, 35\%)$, and 15c-ex (17 mg, 17%). A solution of 12c-en (100 mg) in 25 mL of benzene was irradiated for 1 h. Separation of the products by

Table V. Properties of Cyclobutanols 12

compd ^{b}	mp, °C	IR (KBr), cm^{-1}	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃), δ
12a	143-144	α	7.1-7.5 (m, 3 H), 7.9 (m, 1 H) ^a
12 _b	159-161	3500, 2940, 1675, 1600, 750	1.49 (s, 3 H), 0.9-2.0 (m, 10 H), 2.21 and 2.62 (AB q, $J = 11$ Hz, 2 H), $7.3 - 7.7$ (m, 3 H), 8.0 (m, 1 H)
$12c-ex$	62-65	3500, 2950, 1680, 1600,760	1.39 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.53 (s, 3 H), 2.3-2.5 (m, 3 H), 7.2-7.6 (m, 3 H), 8.0 (m, 1 H)
$12c$ -en	98-101	3480, 2970, 1685, 1595, 750	0.81 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H), 2.66 (m, 1 H), 3.23 (m, 1 H), 7.2-7.6 (m, 3 H), 8.1 (m, 1 H)
12d	107-108.5	3480, 3000, 2950, 1675, 1600, 1200	1.51 (s, 3 H), 2.0-3.3 (m, 4 H), 7.3-7.6 (m, 3 H), 8.1 (m, 1 H)
12e	198	3460, 2950, 1670, 1595, 740, 690	1.53 (s, 3 H), 3.42 and 3.88 (AB q, $J = 10$ Hz, 2 H), 6.9 (m, 10 H), $7.2 - 7.8$ (m, 3 H), 7.9 (m, 1 H)
$12f$ -ex	185-187	3460, 2950, 1680, 1600, 1450, 740	1.23 (s, 3 H), 1.60 (s, 3 H), 2.33 and 3.66 (AB q, $J = 13$ Hz, 2 H), $7.2 - 7.6$ (m, 8 H), 8.0-8.2 (m, 1 H)
$12f$ -en	142-143	3460, 2940, 1695, 1600, 740	1.56 (s, 3 H), 1.83 (s, 3 H), 3.00 and 3.20 (AB q, $J = 12$ Hz, 2 H), $6.8-7.1$ (m, 5 H), 7.2-7.8 (m, 4 H)
12g	111-111.5	3450, 1670, 1600 1295, 760	0.92 (s, 3 H), 1.43 (s, 3 H), 2.16 and 3.00 (AB q, $J = 12$ Hz, 2 H), $7.2 - 7.8$ (m, 3 H), 8.0 (m, 1 H)
12 _h	155-157	3450, 1680, 1605, 1295, 750, 700	3.64 (s, 1 H), 3.52 and 3.95 (AB q, $J = 10$ Hz, 2 H), 6.9-8.0 (m, 14 H)

 a Given in the text. b Satisfactory C and H elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

Table VI. Properties of β -Diketones 14 and Indenones 18

 a Given in the text. b Satisfactory C and H elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

Table VII. Properties of β -Alkoxy Enone 15

compd ^{c}	mp, °C	IR (KBr) , cm ⁻¹	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃), δ
15a	155-156	b	7.3–8.0 (m, 4 H) ^b
15 _b	178 ^a	3340, 2920, 1660. 1625, 1590, 960	1.56 (s, 3 H), 0.9-2.2 (m, 10 H), 4.55 (s, 2 H), 7.2-7.8 (m, 4 H)
$15c-ex$	170 ^a	3260, 2950, 1660. 1620, 1595, 955	1.45 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.75 (s, 3 H), 2.65 (m, 1 H), 4.2-4.8 (m, 2 H), $7.2 - 7.8$ (m, 4 H)
$15c- en$	164-166	3360, 2960, 1665, 1625, 1595, 1215	0.76 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 3 H), 1.62 (s, 3 H), 2.94 (m, 1 H), 4.16 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (dd, $J = 4$, 8 Hz, 1 H), 7.2-7.8 (m, 4 H)
15d	177-178	3350, 1660, 1620, 1595, 1570, 1390	1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.93 (dd, $J = 8$, 13 Hz, 1 H), 2.83 (dd, $J = 5$, 13 Hz, 1 H), $4.3-5.0$ (m, 1 H), 7.2-7.8 (m, 4 H)
15 _q	$202.5 - 203$	3260, 1640, 1630, 1590, 1170, 1160	0.98 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 4.04 and 4.63 (AB q, $J = 7$ Hz, 2 H), 5.61 $(s, 1 H), 7.3-8.0$ (m, 4 H)

^a Sublimed. $\frac{b}{c}$ Given in the text. c Satisfactory C and H elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

column chromatography gave 13a (8 mg, 10%), 14c-en (45 mg, 45%), and 15c-en (12 mg, 12%).

and 6-ethyl-9-methyl-7 β ,8 α -bis(methoxycarbonyl)-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6 α ,9 α -epoxybenzocyclooctene-5,10-dione (17b; 68 mg, 15%).

Irradiation of 11d in the Presence of Dimethyl Fumarate. A solution containing 11d (270 mg) and dimethyl fumarate (250 mg) in benzene-t-BuOH (1:1, 25 mL) was irradiated for 3 h. After removal of the solvent, the resulting residue was chromatographed over silica gel. The products were 12d (65 mg, 24%), 13a (11 mg, 4%), 6-ethyl-9-methyl-7 α ,8 β -bis(methoxycarbonyl)-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6 α ,9 α -epoxybenzocyclooctene-5,10-dione (17a; 72 mg, 16%)

17a: mp 76-78 °C; IR (KBr) 1740, 1735, 1690, 1680, 1440 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3 H), 1.44 (s, 3 H), 2.55 (m, 2 H), 3.45 and 3.65 (AB q, $J = 7$ Hz, 2 H), 3.65 (s, 3 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 7.5-8.0 (m, 4 H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₇: C, 66.27; H, 5.85. Found: C, 66.09; H, 5.77.

17b: mp 98-99 °C; IR (KBr) 1735, 1695, 1685, 1590, 1440 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.12 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3 H), 1.72 (s, 3 H), 2.44 (m,

Table VIII. Yields and Properties of 38

compd ^b	yield. %	mp, $^{\circ}$ C	IR (KBr), cm^{-1}	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃), ^{<i>a</i>} δ
38a	78	134-135	2960, 1750, 1740, 1710, 1235	2.12 (s, 2 H), 7.6-7.8 (m, 4 H)
38 _b	73	185-186	2920, 1755, 1740, 1715, 1240	1.0-2.1 (m, 11 H), 2.60 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1 H), $7.4 - 7.8$ (m, 4 H)
$38c - ex$	79	162-162.5	1760, 1735, 1715, 1245	1.9 (m, 1 H), 2.3 (m, 1 H), 2.6 (m, 1 H), $7.5 - 7.9$ (m, 4 H)
$38c - en$	84	$143 - 144$	1750, 1735, 1705, 1240	$2.0 - 2.2$ (m, 2 H), 3.3 (m, 1 H), $7.5 - 8.0$ (m, 4 H)
38d	63	109-111	1760. 1730. 1710. 1240	$1.7-2.2$ (m, 4 H), $7.3-7.9$ (m, 4 H)
38e	85	255-257	1760, 1745, 1720, 1235	2.96 and 3.23 (AB q, $J = 16$ Hz, 2 H), $7.2 - 7.8$ (m, 14 H)
$38f - ex$	68	179-180	1760, 1750, 1715, 1240	2.35 and 3.22 (AB q, $J = 17$ Hz, 2 H), $7.2 - 7.8$ (m, 9 H)
38f-en	72	$214 - 215$	1760, 1745, 1715, 1240	2.27 and 3.67 (AB q, $J = 16$ Hz, 2 H), 5.9 (m, 1 H), $7.0-7.8$ (m, 8 H)

^{*a*} Data of methyl protons are shown in Figure 4. ^b Satisfactory C and H analyses were obtained for all compounds in this table.

2 H), 3.54 and 3.77 (AB q, $J = 7$ Hz, 2 H), 3.65 (s, 3 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 7.5-8.0 (m, 4 H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₇: C, 66.27; H, 5.85. Found: C, 66.43; H, 5.99.

The C-9 methyl protons of 17b appeared at lower field than those of 17a presumably because of the proximity of the C-8 α methoxycarbonyl group, while the methylene protons at the C-6 ethyl group of 17a appeared at lower field than those of 17b.

Reductive Acetylation of the β -Alkoxy Enone 15g. A solution of 15g (70 mg), anhydrous NaOAc (130 mg), and Zn dust (700 mg) in 5 mL of acetic anhydride was refluxed for 30 min. The reaction mixture was poured into 50 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with two portions of $CH₂Cl₂$ (50 mL), and the organic extracts were washed with water before being dried $(Na₀SO₄)$. Removal of the solvent afforded crude product, which was purified by silica gel short column, giving 16g (50 mg, 68%).

8-Acetoxy-3,3-dimethyl-2,3-dihydronaphthaleno[1,2-b]furan (16g): colorless oil; IR (CCl₄) 2940, 1760, 1620, 1360, 1190, 1135, 755 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.60 (s, 6 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H), 6.91 (s, 1 H), 7.2-8.1 (m, 4 H). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}O_3$: C, 74.98; 6.29. Found: C, 74.68; H, 6.18.

General Procedure for Acetylation of the β -Diketones 14. A solution of 14 (100 mg) in 20 mL of acetic anhydride was cooled to -20 °C, 3 drops of $HCIO₄$ were added cautiously, and then the mixture was immediately poured into 100 mL of ice-water. The reaction mixture was extracted with two portions of $CH₂Cl₂$, and the organic extracts were washed with water. Removal of the solvent afforded a yellow oil, which was separated by column chromatography, giving 35. Yields and physical properties are summarized in Table VIII.

Acetylation of the Cyclobutanol 12a. A solution of 12a (480) mg) in 30 mL of acetic anhydride was cooled to -20 °C, 3 drops of HClO₄ were added cautiously, and the mixture was immediately poured into 200 mL of ice-water. The reaction mixture was extracted with two portions of $CH₂Cl₂$, and the organic extract was washed with water. After removal of the solvent, the residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel column, giving colorless crystals of 1-acetoxy-3,10,10-trimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2,3-epoxy-1,2-ethanonaphthalen-4-one (34a): 167 mg (30%); mp 114-115 °C; IR (KBr) 2960, 1760 (ester C=0), 1700 (ketone C=0), 1380,

1220, 1035 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (s, 3 H), 1.48 (s, 3 H), 1.52 (s, 3 H), 2.05 (s, 3 H), 2.10 and 2.86 (AB q, $J = 12$ Hz, 2 H), 7.0-7.6 (m, 3 H), 8.0-8.2 (m, 1 H). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}O_4$: C, 71.31; H, 6.34. Found: C, 71.22; H, 6.30.

Irradiation of 34a in Benzene Solution. A benzene solution of 34a (100 mg) was irradiated for 3 h. After removal of the solvent, the resulting residue was separated by column chromatography on silica gel. The major product was the β -diketone acetate $35a$ (52 mg, 52%), and small amount (13 mg) of unidentified product was also obtained.

Quantum Yield Determination. Valerophenone actinometry was used for quantum yield determination. The 313-nm line was isolated with a filter solution containing 0.002 M K_2CrO_4 in a 1% aqueous solution of K_2CO_3 The degree of the reaction was determined by GC analysis relative to known concentration of alkane internal standard.

Acknowledgment. In this investigation I am particularly indebted to Professor K. Maruyama for his valuable suggestions and encouragement and to Professor H. Suzuki for his helpful discussions.

Registry No. 10a. 81847-25-8: 10b. 81847-26-9: 10c. 2397-61-7: 10d, 2589-56-2; 10e, 81847-27-0; 10f, 81847-28-1; 10g, 73377-70-5; 10h, 81847-29-2; 11a, 73645-14-4; 11b, 81847-30-5; 11c, 81856-92-0; 11d, 73377-87-4; 11e, 73645-15-5; 11f, 81847-31-6; 11f', 81873-93-0; 11g, 73645-16-6; 11h, 74954-83-9; 11i, 53948-58-6; 11j, 74954-87-3; 12a, 81873-94-1; 12b, 81847-32-7; 12c-ex, 81847-33-8; 12c-en, 81873-95-2; 12d, 81847-34-9; 12e, 73645-22-4; 12f-ex, 81847-35-0; 12f-en, 81873-96-3; 12g, 73645-23-5; 12h, 74954-90-8; 13a, 483-55-6; 13b, 83-72-7; 14a, 81847-36-1; 14b, 81847-37-2; 14c-ex, 81847-38-3; 14c-en, 81873-97-4; 14d, 81847-39-4; 14e, 81847-40-7; 14f-ex, 81938-68-3; 14f-en, 81847-41-8; 14g, 81847-42-9; 14h, 81847-43-0; 15a, 73645-24-6; 15b, 81847-44-1; 15c-ex, 81847-45-2; 15c-en, 81847-46-3; 15d, 81847-47-4; 15g, 73645-25-7; 16a, 74954-81-7; 16g, 81847-48-5; 17a, 81847-49-6; 17b, 81873-98-5; 18g, 73645-28-0; 18h, 74954-86-2; 31i, 81856-93-1; 31j, 81856-94-2; 34a, 81847-50-9; 35a, 81847-51-0; 35b, 81847-52-1; 35c-ex, 81847-53-2; 35c-en, 81873-99-6; 35d, 81847-54-3; 35e, 81847-55-4; 35f-ex, 81847-56-5; 35f-en, 81874-00-2; dimethyl fumarate, 624-49-7.